# **LATE SHEET**

## **10.00 AM MEETING**

## **DEVELOPMENT MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE – 20 JULY 2011**

## **SCHEDULE B**

Item 7 (Page 15-214) – CB/10/04238/FULL – Tesco Stores Ltd, Vimy Road, Linslade, Leighton Buzzard.

**Additional Consultation/Publicity Responses** 

<u>National Market Traders Federation: Dunstable and Leighton Buzzard Branch</u> – Objection.

- Dating back to 909AD, market has never faced such testing times changes in trading laws and regulations have contributed to decline and proposal is seen as major blow to market's long term survival.
- High Street, which is hub of town, could diminish as shoppers will be taken away from centre; whole community feel could be lost and never return, for example, Asda's arrival in Dunstable has crippled once thriving market – where there were 150+ stalls, there is now just handful, where there was healthy, vibrant town, there is now legacy of empty, derelict shops.
- Leighton-Linslade is still full of good shops, although it is not as busy as it once was; it still has much to offer and with careful, sympathetic planning could become better still.
- Tesco proposal will not deliver optimistic future for town; it goes against Town Council's Big Plan and Government's plans for town centre regeneration.
- Plan to develop Land South of High Street could lead to major high street names coming to town; increased footfall would be major bonus to town; this sort of forward planning keeps everything central thus giving High Street much needed boost, whereas Tesco plan would leave town 'high and dry'.
- Proposal could lead to traffic problems which could have knock on effect elsewhere.
- With loss of Homebase, public would go to other towns for their DIY goods; if public has to go out of town to find goods/services, they tend to stay away for good – shopping habit can therefore have damaging effect on all of High Street.

#### Letters of objection have been received from residents of following addresses

Appenine Way – 36 Mowbray Drive – 29 Bideford Green – 252, 362 New Road – 27, 29 Brookside Walk – 13 Nicholson Drive - 51 Byford Way – 6 Old Chapel Mews – 7 Camberton Road - 58, 67 Orchard Drive – 5 Church Road – 11 Princes Court – 10 Coniston Road – 30 Redwood Glade – 30 Grange Close – 3, 62 Rock Lane – 13 Hartwell Grove – 5 St Andrews Street - 5 Highfield Road – 44 Southcourt Avenue – 50 Hockliffe Street – 38 Southcourt Road – 8, 13 Stanbridge Road – 132 King Street – 32 Kiteleys Green – 15 Station Road - 3 Ledburn Grove – 1 Stoke Road - 65, Woodlands Leopold Road – 1 Taylors Ride – 2a Vandyke Road - 116 Manor Court – 8 Weston Avenue – 75 Maree Close - 5

Little Orchard, Billington

14 Brownlow Lane, Cheddington

15 Birds Hill, Heath and Reach

Mansheve, Reach Green, Heath and Reach

11 Leighton Road, Heath and Reach

2 Spinney Court, Heath and Reach

Holly Grange, Hollingdon

90 Castle Hill Road, Totternhoe

23 Leighton Road, Wing

#### Petition against proposed extension to Tesco store

Petition (organised by I Love Leighton Buzzard and South Bedfordshire Friends of the Earth) signed by **1,893** local residents, shoppers, traders and visitors to town objecting to proposals on grounds that:

- It risks harming or stopping development of land South of High Street for bigger range of shops, especially clothing shops such as Marks and Spencers.
- It risks many small independent shops in town centre and market closing down, as has happened in other towns with large Tesco stores and thus losing unique market town feel of Leighton-Linslade.
- There will be big increase in traffic with possibly traffic lights on West Street this could choke town through increased congestion.
- Tesco is on wrong side of town most of population is on other side of town centre and new housing growth will be in east of town.

#### **Petition in favour** of proposed extension to Tesco store

Petition (organised by Tesco) takes form of identical letter signed by **89** individual supporters. Letter states that:

- Would like to pledge support for extension which would improve range of goods that will be available and will make store more customer friendly.
- Support also creation of more jobs for local people, more investment in Leighton Buzzard and better links for pedestrians from store to town centre.
- Strongly urge Council to approve extension plans which will save people having to travel out of town for better choice in shopping

### **Postcards**

Under banner – *NO TESCO EXPANSION* – postcards (submission of which has been organised by I Love Leighton Buzzard and South Bedfordshire Friends of the Earth) incorporate following objections to proposed development.

- Shoppers want shopping choice in Leighton Buzzard, not bigger Tesco. Request Central Bedfordshire Council <u>not</u> to approve expansion of Tesco, but to pro-actively lobby for and encourage wider range of shops in Leighton Buzzard town centre.
- 94% of people surveyed by I Love Leighton Buzzard want wider range and choice of shops. Shoppers want variety of shops in town.
- Tesco has openly stated that it will only sell lower range of clothing, if it expands. Shoppers want more choice of better quality clothes shops.
- People in Leighton Buzzard should not need to travel 14 miles to Milton Keynes to have choice of shops for clothes, footwear etc. Shoppers want to shop locally.

Postcards have been received from residents of following addresses.

Albany Road – 13, 19, 25, 34	Laburnum Court – 36
Appenine Way – 46	Lammas Walk - 4
Aquila Road – 12	Laurel Mews – 6
Ascot Drive – 27	Leedon Furlong – 9
Ashwell Street – 41, 46	Lime Grove – 21
Badgers Brook – 17	Lindler Court – 39
Barleycorn Close – 2	Linwood Grove – 54
Bideford Green – 107, 148, 245, 410,	Magnon Court – 19
420	Manor Court – 8
Billington Road – 7	Market Square – 25
Bramble Close – 6	Marley Fields – 107, 145
Bridge Meadow, Leighton Road - 6	Meadow Way – 139, 141, 151, 175
Brook Street – 26	Melfort Drive – 55
Brooklands Avenue – The Orchards	Mentmore Gardens – 7
Brooklands Drive – 32, 114	Middle Green – 10

Middleton Way - 48 Brookside Walk - 26 Broomhills Road - 8 Milebush - 2a. 9 Miles Avenue - 27 Bushell Close - 24 Byford Path – 6 Millstream Way – 6 Byford Way – 11 Monarch Way – 31, 36, 67 Camberton Road - 45 Moorhouse Path - 2 Capshill Avenue - 20, 24 Mowbray Drive - 46 Carlton Grove – 10 Nebular Court – 5 Centauri Close - 2 Nelson Road - 13, 86 Cetus Crescent - 40 Old Road – 19 Chelsea Green - 29 Orchard Drive - 42 Chiltern Gardens – 14 Orion Way – 1 Church Avenue - 17 Park Mews - 6 Church Road - 17 Pennivale Close - 31 Church Street - 14, 53, 78 Phoenix Close – 7 Clarence Road – 124 Plantation Road – 51, 92, Woodlands Columba Drive - 32 Plover Road - 18 Coniston Road - 39a Princes Court - 3, 6 Copper Beech Way - 2, Shepherds Regent Street – 70 Maze Riverside – 2 Corbet Ride - 41, 64 Rock Lane – 16, Dormas Cormorant Way - 81, 97 Roosevelt Avenue – 51 Cotefield Drive – 107 Rosebery Avenue – 4, 47, 48 Crossway - 6 Rothschild Road - 10 Danes Way - 31, 52, 57, 75 Roundel Drive - 19, 22, 72 Derwent Road - 60, 66, 92 Russell Way - 11, 80 St Andrews Street - 15 Dimmock Close – 5 Dove Tree Road – 12 St Georges Court - 8 St Leonards Close - 30 Draper Way – 12 Dudley Street - 31, 43, 52 St Marys Way – 33 Esmonde Way – 25 Sandy Lane - Sussex Lodge Finch Crescent - 34 Saxons Close - 13 Garden Leys - 14, 16, 26 Shenley Hill Road - 22 George Street – 8, 41, 57 South Street – 15, 20, 25, 47, 96 Springfield Road - Springside Hall Gibson Drive – 69 Grange Close - 69 Stanbridge Road - 125, 132, 138, Grasmere Way - 16, 46, 280 174, 176 Greenhill - 11 Stanbridge Road Terrace - 8 Grove Road - 3 Steppingstone Place – 18 Grovebury Road - 15 Stoke Road - 29, 37 Harcourt Close – 8, 23 Taylors Ride - 35 Harrow Road – 27, 113, 117, 137 The Chilterns – 19, Hollyoaks Cottage Hartwell Crescent – 24 The Gables – 13 Hartwell Grove - 2 The Maltings – 42 Heath Court – 31 The Martins Drive – 15 Highcroft – 7 Tudor Court – 9, 26 Highfield Road – 44 Vandyke Road – 40, 59, 164 Himley Green - 29, 56, 94 Vicarage Gardens - 1 Hinton Close - 15 Victoria Terrace - 5 Hockliffe Road – 29, 30, 135 Vimy Road – 24 Hockliffe Street – 3, 38, 68 Waterdell - 87 Hydrus Drive - 2 Waterloo Road - 7

Wentworth Drive – 11

Johnson Drive – 11

Jupiter Drive – 8, 24, 53, 57, 59 Westside – 7

Ketsrel Way – 39
King Street – 9, 22
Kingfisher Drive – 4

Willow Bank Walk – 3, 77
Windsor Avenue – 42
Wing Road – 93

Kiteleys Green – 33 Woodman Close – 15, 34, 41

Knaves Hill – 72, 95, 135, 147 York Court - 8

Billington – 16 Hillview Lane

Bragenham – Ludley Cottage

Cheddington – 101 Church Hill, Beechwood Mentmore Road

Dunstable - 107 Beecroft Way, 17 Bowland Crescent, 79 Mayfield Road

East Bridgeford, Notts – 25 Kneeton Road

Eaton Bray – 45 Church Lane, 32 High Street, 6 Lords Mead, 7 Summerleys,

20 The Nurseries, 22 The Orchards

Edlesborough – 3 Chiltern Avenue

Eggington - Lyna Lodge High Street, Selrose

Gawcott, Bucks – Leyland Farm, Preston Road

Glasgow – 64 Riddrie Knowes

Great Brickhill – 1 Holts Green, 17 Stoke Lane

Heath and Reach – 6 Abbey Walk, 15 Birds Hill, 8 Lanes End, 11 Leighton

Road, 43 Linslade Road, 22 Thomas Street, 20 Woburn Road

Hockliffe – 37 Manor Avenue, St Elmo Watling Street

Hollingdon - Holly Grange

Ledburn – 2 Manor Farm Lane

Lichfield, Staffs – 52 St Michael Road

London – 26 Cavendish Road, 50 Summerlee Avenue East Finchley

Luton – 8 Rannock Close Sundon Park

Mentmore – School House, The Coach House, The Old Fox 9 The Green

Milton Keynes – 1 Beaufort Drive Willen, 15 Chawton Crescent Great Holm,

27 Lammas Beanhill, 17 Stoke Lane

Northall - The Cottage Leighton Road

Nottingham – 1 Park Mews Mapperley Park

Pitstone – 179 Vardley Avenue

Romford – 9 George Close

Slapton – 19 Horton Road, 35 Horton Road, 40 Mill Road, 3 Rectory House

Soulbury – Stapleford Cottage Annexe

Stanbridge – 30 Peddars Lane

Stewkley - 7 Fishweir, 9 Orkney Close

Tebworth - Rowan House

Tilsworth – 30 Stanbridge Road

Tring – 25 Pirton Road

Wing – 32 Chetserfield Crescent, 39 Moorlands Road, 4 New Zealand Drive,

26 Stewkley Road

Wingrave – Tudor Barn

#### Additional comments set out on postcards include:

 Please halt expansion of this dreadful company that has ruined high streets of so many towns, making them clones of each other – need diversity, not conformity.

- Leighton-Linslade is small market town should be kept that way; it is so important to retain market town identity.
- Tesco is too big already, absolutely no need for expansion; there is enough choice of supermarkets.
- Proposal will harm local shops, local businesses will suffer concerned about street market dwindling, shops closing, Tesco taking over.
- Large supermarket does not need to take all trade to kill town centre taking extra 10% could kill off half of shops.
- Do not want another ghost town needs central shopping area, not empty one; look at Dunstable, enough said.
- More competition, not less restricting choice for people through encouraging monopolistic practices is unhealthy.
- Local small businesses are unable to compete with Tesco prices; if small businesses are not supported, town will lose them altogether.
- Tesco is not interested in town's community, only profits.
- Need to keep Homebase will lose only outlet for decorating supplies.
- Tesco's location between Leighton Buzzard and Linslade causes constant almost gridlock; increased traffic to already congested roads; increased noise to local residents on 24-hour basis.
- Not convinced proposal will add jobs consider it will only put pressure on jobs in High Street; if Tesco expands, only local people should be offered new jobs – certainly no foreign recruitment.
- Tesco do not use local suppliers.
- Contrary to PPS4 (Planning for Sustainable Economic Growth).

### **Leighton Buzzard Observer poll**

Local newspaper, Leighton Buzzard Observer (LBO), has submitted final results of poll of its readers' opinions on proposed development.

Total number of votes submitted via LBO website and on forms printed in newspaper: **1.108** 

Votes in favour of proposal: 188 – 17% Votes against proposal: 913 – 82%

Undecided: 7 – 1%

These figures may be broken down as follows.

LBO website vote

Votes in favour of proposal: 153 – 24% Votes against proposal: 466 – 75%

Undecided: 7 - 1%

LBO printed forms

Votes in favour of proposal: 35 - 7%Votes against proposal: 447 - 93%

#### Additional comments:

#### Tesco's fall-back position

It should be noted that Tesco does not need to construct the proposed store extension in order to sell comparison goods from the Vimy Road site. The company owns the Homebase store and the land on which it stands and could, therefore, use the Homebase store for retailing when it becomes vacant. The purpose of the current application is to enable Tesco to provide an enhanced range of both convenience and comparison goods all under the one roof.

A condition imposed on the original 1990 permission for the Homebase store restricts the range of goods that can be sold from the unit to the following.

Paint, Woodcare, Decorating accessories, Wall coverings, DIY tools, Timber, Building products, Insulation and double glazing, Electrical, Plumbing and heating, Car care, DIY hardware, Shelving and storage, Home security, Kitchens, Bathrooms, Wall tiles,. Flooring, Dining and occasional furniture, Bedrooms, Garden furniture, Garden chemicals and fertilisers, Horticultural livestock, Outdoor buildings such as conservatories, Garden tools, Home textiles and furnishings, Lighting, Housewares, Confectionery, Books and magazines, Pantry.

In 1998, permission was granted to vary the subject condition to allow the occupiers of the Homebase unit to sell Building, Plumbing and Decorating materials and Power tools related to DIY activities, Garden centre products and sundries, Flat pack furniture, Floor coverings, Soft furnishings.

The principal omission from these lists of goods is clothing. To sell clothing in the rebranded Homebase unit, would require a further variation of the subject condition. It may be difficult to resist such a proposal, given that shoppers expect to be able to purchase a range of clothing from the larger supermarkets such as Tesco, Sainsbury and Asda.

It is important to note also that the sales area of the Homebase store is **3,821m**<sup>2</sup>. The existing and proposed comparison net sales area in the Tesco store would be **1,480m**<sup>2</sup>. Accordingly, the demolition of the Homebase store and the erection of the proposed extension to the Tesco store would result in a net loss of comparison sales area at the Vimy Road site of **2,341m**<sup>2</sup>.

## Section 106 Agreement

Since the Main Agenda report was completed further details of the proposed Section 106 Agreement are available for consideration.

The public realm enhancement beside the Leighton Road frontage is likely to cost in the region of £200,000.

Aside from the new zebra crossing proposed for Leighton Road, the sustainable transport initiatives within the vicinity of the site should include improvements to the pedestrian environment along the southern side of Leighton Road. Here there are 8 service roads and the proposed works would involve raising the footway to afford pedestrians obvious priority at these junctions. In addition, the possibility of widening to 3m the existing link between the canal towpath and the car park should be investigated. This would involve British Waterways land.

A financial contribution of £10,000 would be made towards the installation of Real Time Passenger Information signage near the store entrance.

A financial contribution of £125,000 would be made towards the provision of a part-time or full-time Town Centre Manager.

A financial contribution of £75,000 would be made towards improvements to the appearance of shopfronts and pedestrian links within the town centre.

A financial contribution of £35,000 would be made towards public art.

The question of how to secure the provision of the proposed canalside café/restaurant is under consideration. The new building is likely to be occupied on a franchise basis; it would not be a Tesco business. If Tesco was required to construct the building to 'shell and core' and then failed to find an occupier, it could remain vacant for some time. If it then attracted vandalism, it would become an eyesore, thereby detracting from the public realm enhancement and the appearance of the canalside.

### **Appendices**

Copies of the following documents are appended to the Late Sheet:

- The appeal decision dated March 2003 in respect of planning application reference SB/TP/2000/0401.
- Letter dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 2011 from Charlie Hopkins, Planning and Environmental Consultant (on behalf of South Bedfordshire Friends of the Earth).
- Comments dated 15<sup>th</sup> July 2011 from Colin Ashby (on behalf of I Love Leighton Buzzard).